In the passage, the writer mainly talks about the benefits of planting shelter forests in temperate environments. However, the professor disagrees with the passage, claiming that there are more drawbacks than its benefit.

Firstly, the writer claims that shelter forests are able to shield farmlands from desertification, as trees can prevent deserts from expanding. However, the professor disagrees with the writing by pointing out that forests will actually be a disadvantage for farmers, as they will compete with water with farmers’ crops. The professor also points out that the places where shelter trees are planted to prevent desertification are already lacking in water, as water in a desert environment will be inadequate. Crops planted by farmers will be forced to consume less water than before, and it may threaten the crops’ mortality.

Secondly, the passage mentions that shelter forests are able to cultivate new habitats for animals. In contrast, the professor points out that the benefit brought by shelter forests is limited, as they can only raise a small variety of species. Additionally, the professor supports his opinion by pointing out that there is only one type of tree that is used to cultivate shelter forests for its fast-growing.

Lastly, the writer claims that planting shelter forests can significantly relieve the stress of global climate, as more trees can absorb more carbon dioxide, which is a kind of greenhouse gas. By contrast, the professor disagrees with the writer by pointing out the place where shelter forests are mainly constructed in grassland. However, the trees will retain more heat than grasses do, thus contributing to global warming rather than relieving it.